





Members of the Audit Committee London Borough of Havering Town Hall Main Road Romford RM1 3BB

Dear Audit Committee and Pensions Committee Members

2018/19 Provisional Audit Plan

We are pleased to attach our Provisional Audit Plan which sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Audit Committee with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2018/19 audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, the Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) Ltd, auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committees' service expectations.

This Provisional Plan summarises our initial assessment of the key risks driving the development of an effective audit for the Pension Fund, and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks. This is an Provisional Plan as we have not yet completed all our planning procedures. We will provide an updated plan if there are any changes following the completion of these procedures.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit Committee, Pensions Committee and management, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this report with you on 24 April 2019 as well as understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

Debbie Hanson

For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP

Debbie Hanson

Enc

Contents



In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies". It is available from the via the PSAA website (www.PSAA.co.uk). The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The "Terms of Appointment (updated February 2017)" issued by the PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and in legislation, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This report is made solely to the Audit Committee, Pensions Committee and management of Havering Pension Fund in accordance with the statement of responsibilities. Our work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Audit Committee, and management of Havering Pension Fund those matters we are required to state to them in this report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Audit Committee, Pensions Committee and management of Havering Pension Fund for this report or for the opinions we have formed. It should not be provided to any third-party without our prior written consent.





The following 'dashboard' summarises the significant accounting and auditing matters outlined in this report. It seeks to provide the Audit Committee with an overview of our initial risk identification for the upcoming audit and any changes in risks identified in the current year.

Audit risks and areas of focus			
Risk / area of focus	Risk identified	Change from PY	Details
Misstatements due to Fraud or Error	Fraud Risk	No change in risk or focus	As identified in ISA 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that would otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement. We have identified the posting of journals related to the valuation of investment assets as a specific area where misstatements due to fraud and error may arise.
Valuation of Complex Investments	Significant Risk	No change in risk or focus (but risk separately identified this year)	The Fund's investments include pooled property fund investments. The Fund will make judgements when valuing those investments where prices are not publicly available. The material nature of investments means that any error in judgement could result in a material valuation error. Current market volatility means such judgments can quickly become outdated, especially when there is a significant time period between the latest available audited information and the fund year-end. Such variations could have a material impact on the financial statements. As these investments are more complex to value, we have identified the Fund's investments in pooled property funds as a significant risk.



The following 'dashboard' summarises the significant accounting and auditing matters outlined in this report. It seeks to provide the Audit Committee with an overview of our initial risk identification for the upcoming audit and any changes in risks identified in the current year.

Audit risks and areas of focus			
Risk / area of focus	Risk identified	Change from PY	Details
Application of new accounting standard	Inherent risk	New inherent risk	For 2018/19 the Fund needs to adopt the new accounting standard relating to financial instruments (IFRS 9). The Fund needs to assess and evaluate the implications of the new standard on the way it classifies and accounts for its financial instruments and make appropriate disclosures in it 2018/19 accounts.

We would like to take this opportunity to remind you that management has the primary responsibility to prevent and detect fraud. It is important that management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has a culture of ethical behaviour and a strong control environment that both deters and prevents fraud.

Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatements whether caused by error or fraud. As auditors, we approach each engagement with a questioning mind that accepts the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could occur, and design the appropriate procedures to consider such risk.



Materiality We have set materiality at £7.0 million, which represents 1% of the prior year's net assets of the scheme available to fund benefits. We Planning outline our approach to materiality in Section 3. materiality £7.0m We have set performance materiality at £5.3 million, which represents 75% of materiality. Performance materiality £5.3m We will report all uncorrected misstatements relating to the primary statements (Pension Fund Account Audit and Net Asset Statement) greater than £353k. We will communicate other misstatements identified to differences the extent that they merit the attention of the Audit Committee. £353k

Audit scope

This Audit Plan covers the work that we plan to perform to provide you with:

- Our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of Havering Pension Fund (the Pension Fund) give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the pension fund during the year ended 31 March 2019 and the amount and disposition of the fund's assets and liabilities as at 31 March 2019; and
- Our audit opinion on the consistency of the Pension Fund financial statements within the pension fund annual report with the published financial statements of the London Borough of Havering.

We will form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland).

Our audit includes:

- Identifying and understanding the key processes and internal controls;
- Where relevant, reviewing the work of your internal auditors;
- Reviewing and assessing the work of experts in relation to areas such as valuation of the Pension Fund to establish if reliance can be placed on their work; and
- Substantive tests of detail of transactions and amounts.

Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

By considering these inputs, our audit is focused on the areas that matter and our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Audit Committee.



Audit risks

Our response to significant risks

We have set out the significant risks (including fraud risks denoted by*) identified for the current year audit along with the rationale and expected audit approach. The risks identified below may change to reflect any significant findings or subsequent issues we identify during the audit.

Misstatements due to fraud or error*

What is the risk?

The financial statements as a whole are not free of material misstatements whether caused by fraud or error.

As identified in ISA (UK) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.

We have identified the posting of journals related to the valuation of investment assets as a specific area where misstatements due to fraud and error may arise.

What will we do?

In order to address this risk we will carry out a range of procedures including:

- Identifying fraud risks during the planning stages.
- Inquiry of management about risks of fraud and the controls put in place to address those risks.
- Understanding the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud.
- Consideration of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud.
- Performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified fraud risks, including testing of journal entries and other adjustments in the preparation of the financial statements.
- Assess the nature of any significantly unusual transactions identified.

We will undertake additional procedures to address the specific risks we have identified relating to incorrect posting of journals relating to investment assets, which include:

- ► Reviewing reconciliations to the fund manager, custodian and valuer reports and investigating any reconciling differences.
- Agreeing the reconciliation of holdings included in the Net Assets Statement to the source reports.

Audit risks

Our response to significant risks (continued)

Valuation of Complex investments

Financial statement impact

Misstatements that occur in relation to the valuation of pooled property fund investments could affect the Net Assets of the Fund.

The values of these investments at 31 March 2018 was £40,796,000

What is the risk?

The Fund's investments include The Fund's investments include pooled property fund investments, which are more complex to value.

The Fund will make judgements when valuing those investments where prices are not publicly available. The material nature of investments means that any error in judgement could result in a material valuation error.

Current market volatility means such judgments can quickly become outdated, especially when there is a significant time period between the latest available audited information and the Fund year end. Such variations could have a material impact on the financial statements.

What will we do?

In order to address this risk we will carry out a range of procedures including:

- Performing analytical procedures and checking the valuation output for reasonableness against our own expectations.
- Review the basis of valuation of the investments and assess the appropriateness of the valuation methods used.
- Perform tests of valuation by obtaining the latest available audited accounts and agreeing the net asset value per the confirmation received to the audited accounts provided
- Where the audited accounts do not have the same year end as the Fund we will perform other procedures to obtain assurance that the movement to 31 March 2019 is reasonable.

We will also consider engaging our own internal valuation specialists to support our work in this area.

Audit risks

Other areas of audit focus

We have identified other areas of the audit, that have not been classified as significant risks, but are still important when considering the risks of material misstatement to the financial statements and disclosures and therefore may be key audit matters we will include in our audit report.

What is the risk/area of focus?

Application of new accounting standard

IFRS 9 (financial instruments) is applicable for local authority accounts from the 2018/19 financial year and will change:

- How financial assets are classified and measured;
- How the impairment of financial assets are calculated; and
- ► The disclosure requirements for financial assets.

There are transitional arrangements within the standard; and the 2018/19 CIPFA Code of practice on local authority accounting provides guidance on the application of IFRS 9.

What will we do?

We will:

- Assess the Fund's implementation arrangements that should include an impact assessment paper setting out the application of the new standard, transitional adjustments and planned accounting for 2018/19;
- Consider the Fund's classification and valuation of financial instrument assets;
- Review the Fund's new expected credit loss model impairment calculations for assets;
 and
- Check the Fund's additional disclosures.



₩ Audit materiality

Materiality

Materiality

For planning purposes, we have set materiality for 2018/19 at £7.1m. This represents 1% of the Fund's prior year net assets. We will reassess this throughout the audit. In an audit of a pension fund we consider the net assets to be the appropriate basis for setting the materiality as they represent the best measure of the schemes' ability to meet obligations rising from pension liabilities. In determining materiality we have recognised the Pension Fund as a major local audit based on its size, its overall risk profile and public interest.

We include further details on materiality at Appendix D.



We request that the Audit Committee confirm its understanding of, and agreement to, these materiality and reporting levels.

Key definitions

Planning materiality - the amount over which we anticipate misstatements would influence the economic decisions of a user of the financial statements.

Performance materiality - the amount we use to determine the extent of our audit procedures. We have set performance materiality at £5.3m which represents 75% of planning materiality.

Audit difference threshold - we propose that misstatements identified below this threshold are deemed clearly trivial. We will report to you all uncorrected misstatements over this amount relating to the Net Assets Statement and the Pension Fund Account. Other uncorrected misstatements, such as reclassifications, misstatements in disclosures, and corrected misstatements will be communicated to the extent that they merit the attention of the Audit Committee, or are important from a qualitative perspective.



Our Audit Process and Strategy

Objective and Scope of our Audit scoping

Under the Code of Audit Practice our principal objectives are to review and report on the Pension Fund's financial statements to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code.

We issue an audit report that covers the financial statement audit.

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) and form an opinion on the consistency of the pension fund financial statements within the pension fund annual report with the published financial statements of the London Borough of Havering.

As well as the financial statement risks outlined in Section 2, we perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards, the Code and other regulations. We outline below the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

Procedures required by standards

- Addressing the risk of fraud and error;
- Significant disclosures included in the financial statements;
- Entity-wide controls;
- Reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- · Auditor independence.

Procedures required by the Code

• Reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements.

We are also required to discharge our statutory duties and responsibilities as established by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and Code of Audit Practice.

Our audit involves:

- Identifying and understanding the key processes and internal controls;
- Where relevant reviewing the work of your internal auditors;
- Reviewing and assessing the work of experts in relation to areas such as valuation of the Pension Fund to establish if reliance can be placed on their work; and
- Substantive tests of detail of transactions and amounts.

Our Audit Process and Strategy (continued)

Audit Process Overview

Processes

Our initial assessment of the key processes across the Pension Fund has identified that we will be taking a fully substantive audit approach at year end.

As investments are managed by contracted fund managers and overseen by the appointed custodian, we will also review the findings of independent ISAE 3402 assurance reports, for the custodian and fund managers, and assess if there are any issues reported that might impact on our testing strategy.

Analytics

We will use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular journal entries. These tools:

- Help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests; and
- Give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques

Internal Audit

As in the prior year we will review internal audit plans and the results of their work. We consider these when designing our overall audit approach and when developing our detailed testing strategy. We may also reflect relevant findings from their work in our reporting, where it raises issues that we assess could have a material impact on the year-end financial statements.





Audit Team

Debbie Hanson will lead the engagement team. Debbie is an associate partner who is also the engagement partner for 4 other local government pension funds, including two other London pension fund audits. Debbie is supported by Stephen Bladen, a senior manager with significant pension fund audit experience. Stephen will be the key point of contact for the Pensions Manager.

Use of specialists

When auditing key judgements, we are often required to rely on the input and advice provided by specialists who have qualifications and expertise not possessed by the core audit team. The areas where either EY or third party specialists provide input for the current year audit are:

Area	Specialists	
Investment Valuation	The Pension Fund's custodian and fund managers EY Valuation Specialists (if required)	
Actuarial present value of promised retirement benefits.	EY Pensions Advisory PwC (as Consulting Actuary to Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited) Hymans Robertson (Havering Pension Fund actuary)	

In accordance with Auditing Standards, we will evaluate each specialist's professional competence and objectivity, considering their qualifications, experience and available resources, together with the independence of the individuals performing the work.

We also consider the work performed by the specialist in light of our knowledge of the Pension Fund's business and processes and our assessment of audit risk in the particular area. For example, we would typically perform the following procedures:

- Analyse source data and make inquiries as to the procedures used by the specialist to establish whether the source data is relevant and reliable;
- Assess the reasonableness of the assumptions and methods used;
- Consider the appropriateness of the timing of when the specialist carried out the work; and
- Assess whether the substance of the specialist's findings are properly reflected in the financial statements.





Audit timeline

Timetable of communication and deliverables

Timeline

Below is a timetable showing the key stages of the audit and the deliverables we have agreed to provide to you through the audit cycle in 2017/18.

From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Audit Committee and we will discuss them with the Audit Committee Chair as appropriate. We will also provide updates on corporate governance and regulatory matters as necessary.

Audit phase	Timetable	Audit Committee timetable	Deliverables
Planning:			
Risk assessment and setting of scope	March 2019	April 2019	Audit Planning Report
Walkthrough of key systems and processes			
Interim audit testing and IAS19 work	April 2019		
Year end audit	May and June 2019		
Audit Completion procedures	July 2019	July 2019	Audit Results Report
			Audit opinion and completion certificate





Introduction

The FRC Ethical Standard and ISA (UK) 260 "Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance", requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear upon our integrity, objectivity and independence. The Ethical Standard, as revised in June 2016, requires that we communicate formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the course of the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

Required communications

Planning stage

- ► The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by Ernst & Young (EY) including consideration of all relationships between the you, your affiliates and directors and us;
- The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality review;
- The overall assessment of threats and safeguards;
- Information about the general policies and process within EY to maintain objectivity and independence.
- Where EY has determined it is appropriate to apply more restrictive independence rules than permitted under the Ethical Standard [note: additional wording should be included in the communication reflecting the client specific situation]

Final stage

- ▶ In order for you to assess the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm and each covered person, we are required to provide a written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that may bear on our integrity, objectivity and independence. This is required to have regard to relationships with the entity, its directors and senior management, its affiliates, and its connected parties and the threats to integrity or objectivity, including those that could compromise independence that these create. We are also required to disclose any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed:
- Details of non-audit services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto;
- ▶ Written confirmation that the firm and each covered person is independent and, if applicable, that any non-EY firms used in the group audit or external experts used have confirmed their independence to us;
- ▶ Written confirmation that all covered persons are independent;
- Details of any inconsistencies between FRC Ethical Standard and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent breach of that policy;
- Details of any contingent fee arrangements for non-audit services provided by us or our network firms;
 and
- ▶ An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues.

In addition, during the course of the audit, we are required to communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of safeguards put in place, for example, when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements , the amounts of any future services that have been contracted, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services that has been submitted;

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period, analysed in appropriate categories, are disclosed.



Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including the principal threats, if any. We have adopted the safeguards noted below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective. However we will only perform non -audit services if the service has been pre-approved in accordance with your policy.

Overall Assessment

Overall, we consider that the safeguards that have been adopted appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Kevin Suter, your audit engagement partner and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

Self interest threats

A self interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in the Pension Fund. Examples include where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with you.

We are the appointed auditors for the London Borough of Havering; we have no other business relationship with the Pension Fund or Council. At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services and we will comply with the policies that you have approved.

None of the services are prohibited under the FRC's ES or the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 and the services have been approved in accordance with your policy on pre-approval. The ratio of non audit fees to audits fees is not permitted to exceed 70%.

At the time of writing there are no non-audit fees.

A self interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to you. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, has objectives or is rewarded in relation to sales to you, in compliance with Ethical Standard part 4.

There are no other self interest threats at the date of this report.



Other communications

EY Transparency Report 2017

Ernst & Young (EY) has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes in place within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this Report is for the year ended 1 July 2018 and can be found here:

https://www.ey.com/uk/en/about-us/ey-uk-transparency-report-2018





Appendix A

Fees

The duty to prescribe fees is a statutory function delegated to Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. PSAA has published a scale fee for all relevant bodies. This is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in accordance with the NAO Code, the financial reporting requirements set out in the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting published by CIPFA/LASAAC, and the professional standards applicable to auditors' work.

	Planned fee 2018/19	Scale fee 2018/19	Final Fee 2017/18
	£	£	£
Total Fee - Code work	16,170	16,170	21,000
Total fees	16,170	16,170	21,000

All fees exclude VAT

The agreed fee presented is based on the following assumptions:

- ► Officers meeting the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- ▶ Our accounts opinion and value for money conclusion being unqualified;
- ► Appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the Pension Fund; and
- ► The Pension Fund has an effective control environment.

If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with the Pension Fund in advance.

Fees for the auditor's consideration of correspondence from the public and formal objections will be charged in addition to the scale fee.



Required communications with the Audit Committee

We have detailed the communications that we must provide to the Audit Committee.		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Terms of engagement	Confirmation by the Audit Committee of acceptance of terms of engagement as written in the engagement letter signed by both parties.	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.
Our responsibilities	Reminder of our responsibilities as set out in the engagement letter	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.
Planning and audit approach	Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit, any limitations and the significant risks identified. When communicating key audit matters this includes the most significant risks of material misstatement (whether or not due to fraud) including those that have the greatest effect on the overall audit strategy, the allocation of resources in the audit and directing the efforts of the engagement team	Audit planning report - April 2019
Significant findings from the audit	 Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management Written representations that we are seeking Expected modifications to the audit report Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process 	Audit results report - July 2019



Required communications with the Audit Committee (continued)

		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Going concern	 Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements 	Audit results report - July 2019
Misstatements	 Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion, unless prohibited by law or regulation The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected Corrected misstatements that are significant Material misstatements corrected by management 	Audit results report - July 2019
Fraud	 Enquiries of the Audit Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist A discussion of any other matters related to fraud 	Audit results report - July 2019
Related parties	 Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable: Non-disclosure by management Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions Disagreement over disclosures Non-compliance with laws and regulations Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity 	Audit results report - July 2019



Required communications with the Audit Committee (continued)

		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Independence	Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's, and all individuals involved in the audit, objectivity and independence Communication of key elements of the audit engagement partner's consideration of independence and objectivity such as: The principal threats Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness An overall assessment of threats and safeguards Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence	Audit planning report - April 2019 Audit results report - July 2019
External confirmations	 Management's refusal for us to request confirmations Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures 	Audit results report - July 2019
Consideration of laws and regulations	 Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off Enquiry of the Audit Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Audit Committee may be aware of 	Audit results report - July 2019
Internal controls	► Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit	Audit results report - July 2019



Appendix C

Required communications with the Audit Committee (continued)

		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Management representations	Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance	Audit results report - July 2019
Material inconsistencies and misstatements	Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise	Audit results report - July 2019
Auditors report	 Key audit matters that we will include in our auditor's report Any circumstances identified that affect the form and content of our auditor's report 	Audit results report - July 2019
Fee Reporting	 Breakdown of fee information when the audit plan is agreed Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit Any non-audit work 	Audit planning report - April 2019 Audit results report - July 2019



Additional audit information

Other required procedures during the course of the audit

In addition to the key areas of audit focus outlined in section 2, we have to perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards and other regulations. We outline the procedures below that we will undertake during the course of our audit.

Our responsibilities required by auditing standards

- ▶ Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.
- Obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Pension Fund's internal control.
- Evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Concluding on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting.
- Evaluating the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business activities within the Pension Fund to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements. Reading other information contained in the financial statements, including the board's statement that the annual report is fair, balanced and understandable, the Audit Committee reporting appropriately addresses matters communicated by us to the Audit Committee and reporting whether it is materially inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- Maintaining auditor independence.



Additional audit information (continued)

Purpose and evaluation of materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the accounts are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in the aggregate, in light of the surrounding circumstances, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation of it requires professional judgement and necessarily takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implicit in the definition. We would be happy to discuss with you your expectations regarding our detection of misstatements in the financial statements.

Materiality determines:

- ► The locations at which we conduct audit procedures to support the opinion given on the financial statements; and
- ► The level of work performed on individual account balances and financial statement disclosures.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all of the circumstances that may ultimately influence our judgement about materiality. At the end of the audit we will form our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the accounts, including the total effect of the audit misstatements we identify, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.